# Newman's Proof of PNT

Himadri Sekhar Ganguli Simon Fraser University

March 12, 2007

The Famous Prime Number Theorem

$$
\pi(x) = \sum_{p \le x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \qquad as \qquad x \to \infty
$$

was studied already by Legendre and Gauss. However, it took a hundred years before the first proofs appeared, one by Hadamard and one by de la Vallee Poussin (1896).

## Technique used in the past :

Weiner's Tauberian theory of Fourier integrals ( Ikehara-Weiner Theorem )

#### Ikehara-Weiner Theorem

Let  $f(x)$  be non negative, non decreasing on  $[1,\infty)$  and such that the Mellin transform

$$
g_0(s) = \int_1^\infty x^{-s} df(x) = -f(1) + s \int_1^\infty f(x) x^{-s-1} dx
$$

exists for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Suppose that for some constant c, the function (  $g_0(s) - \frac{c}{s-1}$ ) has a continuous extension to the closed half plane  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ . Then

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x} \to c \quad as \quad x \to \infty
$$



D. J. Newman

# Outline of Newman's Proof

- 1. Auxiliary Tauberian Theorem ( Complex Integration )
- 2. Corollary A Poor Man's Version of Ikehara-Weiner Theorem
- 3. Corollary  $\Rightarrow$  Prime Number Theorem

## Auxiliary Tauberian theorem

Let  $F(t)$  be bounded on  $(0,\infty)$  and integrable over every finite subinterval, so that the Laplace transform

$$
G(z) = \int_0^\infty F(t)e^{-zt}dt
$$

is well-defined and analytic throughout the open half-plane  $\Re(z)$  > 0. Suppose that  $G(z)$  can be continued analytically to a neighborhood of every point on the imaginary axis. Then

$$
\int_0^\infty F(t)dt
$$

exists as an improper intergral ( and is equal to  $G(0)$  )





We want to establish the following :-

#### Corollary to the auxiliary theorem

Let  $f(x)$  be non negative, non decreasing and  $O(x)$  on  $[1,\infty)$ , so that its Mellin transform

$$
g(s) = s \int_1^\infty f(x) x^{-s-1} dx
$$

is well-defined and analytic throughout the half-plane  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Suppose that for some constant c, the function ( $g(s) - \frac{c}{s-1}$ ) can be continued analytically to a neighbourhood of every point on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$ . Then

$$
\frac{f(x)}{x} \to c \quad as \quad x \to \infty
$$

9

## Corollary  $\Rightarrow$  Prime Number theorem

One takes  $f(x) = \psi(x)$  where  $\psi(x)$  is that well-known function from prime number theory,

$$
\psi(x) = \sum_{p^m \leq x} \log p
$$

It is a simple fact that  $\pi(x) = O(\frac{x}{\log x})$  $\frac{x}{\log x}$ ) or equivalently,  $\psi(x) =$  $O(x)$ .

Thus  $f(x)$  is as the corollary wants it.

What about its Melin transform  $g(s)$ ?

A standard calculation based on the Euler product shows that

$$
g(s)=-\frac{\zeta^{'}(s)}{\zeta(s)},\quad \Re(s)>1
$$

Since  $\zeta(s)$  behaves like  $\frac{1}{s-1}$  around  $s=1$ , the same is true for  $g(s)$ . Hence

 $g(s)-\frac{1}{s-1}$ has an analytic continuation to a neighbourhood of the closed half plane  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  The conclusion of the corollary now gives us

$$
\frac{\psi(x)}{x} \to 1 \qquad as \quad x \to \infty
$$

We begin with the necessary facts about the zeta function.

B.1. Analytic continuation of  $\zeta(s)$ . Simple transformations show that for Re  $s > 2$ 

$$
\zeta(s) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^s} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n^s} = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^s} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(n+1)^s} = \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^s} \right) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} n s \int_{1}^{n+1} x^{-s-1} dx = s \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{n+1} [x] x^{-s
$$

where [x] denotes the largest integer  $\leq x$ . Since first and final member are analytic for Re  $s > 1$ , the integral formula holds throughout that half-plane.

It is reasonable to compare the integral with

$$
s\int_{1}^{\infty} x \cdot x^{-s-1} dx = \frac{s}{s-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{s-1}.
$$
 (B.2)

Combination of  $(B.1)$  and  $(B.2)$  gives

×.

$$
\zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} = 1 + s \int_{1}^{\infty} ([x] - x) x^{-s-1} dx.
$$
 (B.3)

The new integral converges and represents an analytic function throughout the half-plane Re  $s > 0$ . Thus (B.3) provides an analytic continuation of the left-hand side to that half-plane.

B.2. Non-vanishing of  $\xi(s)$  for Re  $s \ge 1$ . The Euler product in (1.2) shows that  $\xi(s) \ne 0$  for Re  $s > 1$ . For Re  $s = 1$  we will use Mertens's clever proof of 1898. The key fact is the inequality

 $3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta = 2(1 + \cos \theta)^2 \ge 0$ ,  $\theta$  real.

Suppose that  $\zeta(1 + ib)$  would be equal to 0, where b is real and  $\neq 0$ . Then the auxiliary analytic function

$$
\varphi(s) = \zeta^3(s)\zeta^4(s+ib)\zeta(s+2ib)
$$

would have a zero for  $s = 1$ : the pole of  $\zeta^3(s)$  could not cancel the zero of  $\zeta^4(s + ib)$ . It would follow that

 $\log |\varphi(s)| \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $s \rightarrow 1$ .  $(B.5)$ 

 $(B.4)$ 

We now take s real and  $> 1$ . By the Euler product,

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

$$
\log |\xi(s+it)| = -\operatorname{Re} \sum_{p} \log (1 - p^{-s-it}) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p} \left\{ p^{-s-it} + \frac{1}{2} (p^2)^{-s-it} + \frac{1}{3} (p^3)^{-s-it} + \dots \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{p}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s-it} \quad \text{with} \quad a_n \ge 0.
$$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

### Thus

$$
\log |\varphi(s)| = \text{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} (3 + 4n^{-1b} + n^{-2ib}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \{3 + 4 \cos (b \log n) + \cos (2b \log n)\} \ge 0
$$

 $\sim 10$ 

because of (B.4), contradicting (B.5).

B.3. Representations for  $\zeta'(s)/\zeta(s)$ . Logarithmic differentiation of the Euler product in (1.2) gives

$$
-\frac{\xi'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-s}}{p^{-s}} \log p = \sum_{p}^{\infty} (p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + ...) \log p = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) n^{-s},
$$

where  $\Lambda(n)$  is the von Mangoldt function,

 $\bar{L}$ 

$$
\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is not a prime power.} \end{cases}
$$

The corresponding partial sum function is equal to  $\psi(x)$ :

$$
\psi(x) = \sum_{p^m \leq x} \log p = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n).
$$

Proceeding as in  $(B.1)$ , the series  $(B.6)$  leads to the integral representation

$$
-\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)}=s\int_{1}^{\infty}\psi(x)x^{-s-1}dx,\quad \text{Re }s>1.
$$

The integral converges and is analytic for Re  $s > 1$  since by (B.7),  $\psi(x) \le x \log x$ .

B.4. Relation between  $\psi(x)$  and  $\pi(x)$ . By (B.7),  $\psi(x)$  counts log p (for fixed p) as many times as there are powers  $p^m \le x$ , hence

$$
\psi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \left[ \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right] \log p \leq \log x \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \pi(x) \log x. \tag{B.9}
$$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1$ 

On the other hand, when  $1 < y < x$ ,

$$
\pi(x) = \pi(y) + \sum_{y < p \leq x} 1 \leq \pi(y) + \sum_{y < p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{\log y} < y + \frac{\psi(x)}{\log y}.
$$

Taking  $y = x / \log^2 x$  one thus finds that

$$
\pi(x) \frac{\log x}{x} < \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \frac{\log x}{\log x - 2 \log \log x}.\tag{B.10}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\sim 100$ 

Combination of  $(B.9)$  and  $(B.10)$  shows that

$$
\lim \pi(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lim \frac{\psi(x)}{x} = 1.
$$

We finally indicate a standard proof of the estimate

 $(B.11)$ 

We finally indicate a standard proof of the estimate

For positive integral *n*, the binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$  must be divisible by all primes *p* on  $(n, 2n]$ . Hence  $\prod_{n \le p \le 2n} p \le \binom{2n}{n} < 2^{2n}$ ,  $\psi(x) = 0(x)$ .

so that

$$
\sum_{2^{k-1} < p \leqslant 2^k \log p} \leqslant 2^k \log 2
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{p \leq 2^k} \log p \leq (2^k + 2^{k-1} + \ldots + 1) \log 2 < 2^{k+1} \log 2
$$

and hence there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$
\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq Cx.
$$

19 Since the prime powers higher than the first contribute at most a term  $0(x^{1/2 + \epsilon})$  to  $\psi(x)$ , inequality (B.12) follows.

 $(B.12)$