MATH 895, Assignment 5, Summer 2011

Instructor: Michael Monagan

Please hand in the assignment by 11:30am on July 27th. Late Penalty -20% off for up to 48 hours late, zero after that.

Question 1: The Bareiss/Edmonds Algorithm

Reference: Ch. 9 of Algorithms for Computer Algebra by Geddes, Czapor and Labahn. Let D be an integral domain and let A be an n by n matrix with entries $A_{i,j} \in D$. To compute det(A) we can use ordinary Gaussian elimination over the fraction field D/D or the Bareiss/Edmonds fraction-free Gaussian elimination over D.

Part (a) (10 marks)

Let F be a field, D = F[x] and A be an n by n matrix over F[x]. If we assume deg $A_{i,j} \leq d$ and classical quadratic algorithms are used for polynomial multiplication and exact division in F[x], how many arithmetic operations in F does the Bareiss/Edmonds algorithm do? Try to get an exact formula in terms of n and d assuming deg $A_{i,j} = d$.

Part (b) (20 marks)

For an *n* by *n* matrix *A* implement ordinary Gaussian elimination and the Bareiss/Edmonds algorithms as the Maple procedures GaussElim(A,n,'B'); and Bareiss(A,n,'B'); for the integral domain $D = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_m]$. The algorithms should make a copy of the matrix *A*, compute and return det(*A*) and assign *B* the eliminated matrix $A^{(n-1)}$.

To do the rational function arithmetic in D/D in ordinary Gaussian elimination use the **normal(...)**; command in Maple. For the exact polynomial divisions in the Bareiss algorithm, use divide(..., 'Q'); to compute the quotient Q. Also, you'll need to take care of pivoting – if at any step k, the matrix entry $A_{k,k} = 0$ and $A_{i,k} \neq 0$ for some $k < i \leq n$, interchange row k with row i before proceeding. Note also that interchanging two rows of a matrix changes the sign of the determinant.

Test both algorithms on the symmetrix Toeplitz matrices

$$T_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} & \dots & x_{n-2} & x_{n} \\ x_{2} & x_{1} & \dots & x_{n-3} & x_{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & \dots & x_{1} & x_{2} \\ x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \dots & x_{2} & x_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and verify that the determinants are computed correctly. For n = 3 also print out the determinant and the final triangular matrix for both algorithms.

Question 2: Rational Number Reconstruction (20 marks).

Reference: Maximal Quotient Rational Reconstruction: An Almost Optimal Algorithm for Rational Reconstruction by M. Monagan.

Study Wang's rational number reconstruction algorithm and Monagan's maximal quotient rational reconstruction algorithm (MQRR) in the paper by Monagan. Implement both algorithms in Maple as procedures Wang and MQRR respectively. For Wang's algorithm, use $N = D = \lfloor \sqrt{m/2} \rfloor$. For Monagan's algorithm, use $T = 1000 \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor$. Execute Wang's algorithm on the following input

> m := 23; > M := floor(sqrt(m/2)); > r := [seq(Wang(u,m,M), u=0..m-1)];

Observe that all rationals n/d satisfying $|n| \leq 3$ and $0 < d \leq 3$ appear once in r. Execute Monagan's and Wang's algorithm on the following inputs

```
> p1 := 2^31-1; p2 := prevprime(p1); m := p1*p2;
> U := [ 2/12345678901, 12345678901/2, 123456/78901 ] mod m;
> Digits := 20; M := floor(sqrt(m/2));
> [ seq( Wang(u,m,M), u=U ) ];
> T := 1000*ilog2(m);
> [ seq( MQRR(u,p,T), u=U ) ];
```

The Maple command ilog2(m) computes $\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor$.

Question 3: Solving Ax = b using *p*-adic lifting.

Part (a) (20 marks)

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In class I presented an algorithm for solving Ax = b for $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ using linear p-adic lifting and rational number reconstruction. Implement the algorithm in Maple as the procedure PadicLinearSolve(A,b). You procedure should return the solution vector x and also print out the number of lifting steps k that are required. Test your implementation on the following examples. The first has large rationals in the solution vector. The second is constructed so that the solution vector x has very small rationals.

```
> with(LinearAlgebra):
> B := 2^16; m := 3; U := rand(B^m);
> n := 50;
> A := RandomMatrix(n,n,generator=U);
> b := RandomVector(n,generator=U);
> x := padicLinearSolve(A,b);
> convert( A.x-b, set ); # should be {0}
> y := [1,0,-1/2,2/3,4,3/4,-2,-3,0,-1];
> x := Vector( [seq( op(y), i=1..5 )] );
> b := A.x;
> b := 12*b; A := 12*A; # clear fractions
> x := padicLinearSolve(A,b);
> convert( A.x-b, set ); # should be {0}
```

To compute $A^{-1} \mod p$ use the Maple command Inverse(A) mod p. To multiply A times a vector x use A.x. For rational number reconstruction use the Maple command iratrecon.

Part (b) (10 marks)

Suppose dim A = n, dim b = n and $|A_{i,j}| < B^m$ and $|b_i| < B^m$, i.e., the coefficients in the linear system are *m* base *B* digits (or less). Suppose the p - adic lifting algorithm does *L* lifting steps, i.e. solves $Ax = b \mod p^L$ and then successfully reconstructs $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ using rational reconstruction.

What is the running time of the algorithm assuming classical algorithms are used for integer arithmetic, rational reconstruction and matrix inverse. Express your answer in the form O(f(m, n, L)).

Since the integers in the solution vector x may be as large as mn base B digits, as illustrated by the first example, $L \in O(mn)$ in general. What is the running time for $L \in O(mn)$?