Please hand in the assignment by 3:30pm on July 22nd before class starts. 
Late Penalty -20% off for up to 24 hours late, zero after than. 
Please submit a printout of a Maple worksheet containing Maple code and output. 
Use any tools from the Maple library, e.g. content(...), Content(...) mod p, divide(...), Divide(...) mod p, eval(...) mod p, Interp(...) mod p, Linsolve(A,b) mod p, chrem(...), etc.

Brown’s dense modular GCD algorithm for \( \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ... x_n] \)

REFERENCE: Section 7.4 of the Geddes text.

(a) (5 marks)

Let \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \). Let \( g = \text{gcd}(a, b), \bar{a} = a/g \) and \( \bar{b} = b/g \). For the modular GCD algorithm in \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) (one variable) we said a prime \( p \) is bad if \( p | \text{lc}(a) \) and a prime \( p \) is unlucky if \( \deg(\text{gcd}(\bar{a} \mod p, \bar{b} \mod p)) > 0 \). We apply Lemma 7.3 (see text) to identify the unlucky primes.

For \( a, b, \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n] \) we need to generalize these definitions for a prime \( p \) and also define bad evaluation points and unlucky evaluation points for evaluating \( x_n \). We do this using a monomial ordering e.g. lexicographical order. Let’s use an example in \( \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z] \).

\[ a = (xz + yz - 1)(3x + 7y(z^2 - 1) + 1), \quad b = (xz + yz - 1)(3x + 7y(z^3 - 1) + 1). \]

Let \( LC, LT, LM \) denote the leading coefficient, leading term and leading monomial respectively in lexicographical order with \( x > y > z \). So in our example, \( LT(a) = (xz)(3x) = 3x^2z \), hence \( LC(a) = 3 \) and \( LM(a) = x^2z \).

Let \( p \) be a prime and \( \alpha \) be an evaluation point for \( z \). We say \( p \) is bad prime if \( p \) divides \( LC(a) \) and \( p \) is an unlucky prime if \( \deg(\text{gcd}(\phi_p(\bar{a}), \phi_p(\bar{b}))) > 0 \). Similarly we say \( z = \alpha \) is a bad evaluation point if \( LC_{x,y}(a)(\alpha) = 0 \) and \( z = \alpha \) is an unlucky evaluation point if \( \deg(\text{gcd}(a(x, y, z = \alpha), b(x, y, z = \alpha))) > 0 \).

Identify all bad primes, unlucky primes, bad evaluation points for \( z \), and unlucky evaluation points for \( z \) in the example.
(b) (5 marks)

Prove the following modified Lemma 7.3 for \( \mathbb{Z}[x_1, ..., x_n] \).

Let \( a, b \) be non-zero polynomials in \( \mathbb{Z}[x_1, ..., x_n] \) with \( \gcd(a, b) = g \). Let \( p \) be a prime and \( h = \gcd(\phi_p(a), \phi_p(b)) \) \( \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, ..., x_n] \). If \( p \) does not divide \( \text{LC}(a) \) (in lexicographical order with \( x_1 > x_2 > ... > x_n \)) then

(i) \( \text{LM}(h) \geq \text{LM}(g) \) and

(ii) if \( \text{LM}(h) = \text{LM}(g) \) then \( \phi_p(g) | h \) and \( h | \phi_p(g) \).

(c) (40 marks)

Implement the modular GCD algorithm of section 7.4 in Maple. Implement two subroutines, subroutine MGCD that computes the GCD modulo a sequence of primes (use 4 digit primes), and subroutine PGCD that computes the GCD at a sequence of evaluation points (use 0, 1, 2, ... for the evaluation points). Note, subroutine PGCD is recursive. Test your algorithm on the following example polynomials in \( \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z] \). Use \( x \) as the main variable. First evaluate out \( z \) then \( y \).

\[
> c := x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 1; \quad d := x^3 - y^3 - z^3 + 1;
> g := x^4 - 123454321*y*z^2*x^2 + 1;
> MGCD(c, d, \{x, y, z\});
> MGCD(\text{expand}(g*c), \text{expand}(g*d), \{x, y, z\});
> MGCD(\text{expand}(g^2*c), \text{expand}(g^2*d), \{x, y, z\});
\]

\[
> g := z*y*x^3 + 1; \quad c := (z-1)*x+y+1; \quad d := (z^2-1)*x+y+1;
> MGCD(\text{expand}(g*c), \text{expand}(g*d), \{x, y, z\});
> MGCD(\text{expand}(g^2*c), \text{expand}(g^2*d), \{x, y, z\});
\]

Please make your MGCD procedure print out the sequence of primes it uses using `printf(" p=%d\n", p);`

Please make your PGCD procedure print out the sequence of evaluation points \( \alpha \) that it uses for each variable \( u \) using `printf(" %a=%d\n", u, alpha);`

2
Zippel’s sparse modular GCD algorithm for $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

Optional bonus (20 marks)

REFERENCES: Section 7.5 of the Geddes text and the paper “Algorithms for the Non-monic case of the Sparse Modular GCD algorithm” by de Kleine, Monagan and Wittkopf.

Modify subroutine PGCD to use sparse interpolation. You may assume that the gcd $g$ is monic. You may modify subroutine MGCD to also use sparse interpolation if you wish.

Run both your sparse algorithm and dense algorithm on this input. Count the number of univariate gcd computations in $\mathbb{Z}_p[z]$ that each algorithm does.

```plaintext
> g := 2*x^8 + (u^8*v - 3*v^8*y + y^8*u)*x^4 + (w^8*z - 3*z^8*w + 1);
> c := 4*x^8 + 5*w^4*x^4 + 2*y^4*z^4 + 3*u^4*v^4 + 1;
> d := 6*x^8 - 5*y^4*x^4 - 4*u^4*v^4 - 3*w^4*z^4 - 2;
> a := expand(g*c):
> b := expand(g*d):
> MGCD(a,b,[x,u,v,w,y,z]);
```