MATH 895, Assignment 3, Summer 2017

Instructor: Michael Monagan

Please hand in the assignment by 5:00pm Tuesday June 13th. Late Penalty -20% off for up to 48 hours late, zero after that.

Question 1: The Bareiss/Edmonds Algorithm

Reference: Ch. 9 of Algorithms for Computer Algebra by Geddes, Czapor and Labahn.

Part (a) (10 marks)

For an n by n matrix A with integer entries, implement ordinary Gaussian elimination and the Bareiss/Edmonds algorithms as the Maple procedures GaussElim(A,n,'B'); and Bareiss(A,n,'B'); to compute $\det(A)$. The algorithms should initially assign B a copy of the matrix A so that after the algorithm finishes and returns $\det(A)$ the value of B will be $A^{(n-1)}$. Note, you will need to take care of pivoting: if at any step k, the matrix entry $B_{k,k} = 0$ and $B_{i,k} \neq 0$ for some $k < i \leq n$, interchange row k with row i before proceeding. And remember interchanging two rows of a matrix changes the sign of the determinant.

Use iquo(a,b) to compute the quotient of a divided by b. When you are debugging, print out the matrices $A^{(1)}$, $A^{(2)}$, ... after each step of the elimination.

Execute both algorithms on the following matrices for n = 2, 3, 4, ..., 10.

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 7926 & 8057 & 5 & 3002 \\ 2347 & 9765 & 3354 & 5860 \\ 6906 & 5281 & 5393 & 1203 \\ 311 & 9386 & 9810 & 5144 \end{bmatrix}$$

For n=4 print out final triangular matrix for both algorithms. Finally, in class we showed that $|\det(A)| < \sqrt{n}^n B^{mn}$ where B=10 and m=4 here. Check this for n=4.

Part (b) (5 marks)

Here is code for the forward elimination step of ordinary Gaussian elimination applied to an n by n matrix over a field F. It triangularizes A. This code assumes the pivots $A_{kk} \neq 0$.

for
$$k = 1$$
 to $n - 1$ do $\#$ step k
for $i = k + 1$ to n do $\#$ row i
for $j = k + 1$ to n do $A_{ij} := A_{ij} - \frac{A_{ik}}{A_{kk}} A_{kj}$;
 $A_{ik} := 0$;

The divisions A_{ik}/A_{kk} can be moved out of the inner loop so that we have

for
$$k = 1$$
 to $n - 1$ do $\#$ step k
for $i = k + 1$ to n do $\#$ row i

$$m := \frac{A_{ik}}{A_{kk}};$$
for $j = k + 1$ to n do $A_{ij} := A_{ij} - mA_{kj};$

$$A_{ik} := 0;$$

This is the usual presentation of Gaussian elimination in a course on numerical analysis. The quantity m is called the multiplier. Let M(n) be the number of multiplications in F does Gaussian elimination does. Notice it does M(n) subtractions also. Work out the exact formula for M(n). One way to do this is as a recurrence relation.

Part (c) (10 marks)

Let F be a field, D = F[x] and A be an n by n matrix over D. If we assume deg $A_{i,j} \leq d$ and classical quadratic algorithms are used for polynomial multiplication and exact division in F[x], how many multiplications in F does the Bareiss/Edmonds algorithm do?

Try to get an exact formula in terms of n and d assuming deg $A_{i,j} = d$. I suggest you do this for a 3x3 matrix first. Recall that to divide a polynomial in F[x] of degree d by a polynomial of degree $m \leq d$, the classical division algorithm does at most (d - m + 1)m multiplications in F.

Question 2: Solving Ax = b using p-adic lifting and rational reconstruction.

Part (a) (10 marks)

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In class I presented an algorithm for solving Ax = b for $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ using linear p-adic lifting and rational number reconstruction. Implement the algorithm in Maple as the procedure PadicLinearSolve(A,b). Use the prime $p = 2^{31} - 1$. Your procedure should return the solution vector x and also print out the number of lifting steps k that are required. Test your implementation on the following examples. The first has large rationals in the solution vector. The second has very small rationals.

```
> with(LinearAlgebra):
> B := 2^16;
> m := 3;
> U := rand(B^m);
> n := 50;
> A := RandomMatrix(n,n,generator=U);
> b := RandomVector(n,generator=U);
> x := padicLinearSolve(A,b);
> convert( A.x-b, set ); # should be {0}
y := [1,0,-1/2,2/3,4,3/4,-2,-3,0,-1];
> y := map(op, [y$5]);
> x := Vector(y);
> b := A.x;
> A,b := 12*A,12*b; # clear fractions
> x := padicLinearSolve(A,b);
> convert( A.x-b, set ); # should be {0}
```

To compute $A^{-1} \mod p$ use the Maple command Inverse(A) mod p. To multiply A times a vector x use A.x in Maple.

For rational number reconstruction use the Maple command iratrecon. Note, if u is a vector of integers modulo m, iratrecon(u,m) will apply rational reconstruction to each entry in u separately.

Part (b) (6 marks)

Reference: Maximal Quotient Rational Reconstruction: An Almost Optimal Algorithm for Rational Reconstruction by M. Monagan. Available on course website.

In class I presented an Theorem of Guy, Davenport and Wang for rational number reconstruction. One good way to understand what a Theorem is saying is to first check that it's true on some examples. Checking a Theorem will often reveal the conditions under which the Theorem is true. For example, should it be $r_i \leq N$ or $r_i < N$.

Implement Wang/Guy/Davenport's rational number reconstruction algorithm as presented in class as the Maple procedure RATRECON(m, u, N, D). For a modulus m > 0 and input $0 \le u < m$ and integers N, D satisfying $N \ge 0$, D > 0 and 2ND < m run the extended Euclidean algorithm for input $r_0 = m, r_1 = u$ and output the first r_i/t_i satisfying $r_i \le N$ provided $\gcd(t_i, m) = 1$ and $|t_i| \le D$, otherwise output FAIL. You may use my code for the extended Euclidean algorithm in the handout.

Run your algorithm on the following inputs

$$m = 13, u = i, N = 3, D = 2 \text{ for } 0 \le i < 13.$$

Now verify that all rationals n/d satisfying $|n| \leq N$ and $d \leq D$ are recovered and only those rationals are recovered (all other outputs are FAIL).

Part (c) (9 marks)

Suppose dim A = n, dim b = n and $|A_{i,j}| < B^m$ and $|b_i| < B^m$, i.e., the coefficients in the linear system are m base B digits (or less). Suppose the p - adic lifting algorithm does L lifting steps, i.e. solves $Ax = b \mod p^L$ and then successfully reconstructs $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ using rational reconstruction.

What is the running time of the algorithm assuming classical algorithms are used for integer arithmetic, rational reconstruction and matrix inverse. Express your answer in the form O(f(m, n, L)).

Since the integers in the solution vector x may be as large as mn base B digits, as illustrated by the first example, $L \in O(mn)$ in general. What is the running time for $L \in O(mn)$? Recall that we showed in class that the modular algorithm cost $O(mn^4 + m^2n^3)$ to solve Ax = b.