# ON THE ZEROS OF COSINE POLYNOMIALS: SOLUTION TO A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

### PETER BORWEIN, TAMÁS ERDÉLYI, RON FERGUSON, AND RICHARD LOCKHART

ABSTRACT. Littlewood in his 1968 monograph "Some Problems in Real and Complex Analysis" [12, problem 22] poses the following research problem, which appears to still be open:

**Problem.** "If the  $n_j$  are integral and all different, what is the lower bound on the number of real zeros of  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos(n_j \theta)$ ? Possibly N-1, or not much less."

No progress appears to have been made on this in the last half century. We show that this is false.

**Theorem.** There exists a cosine polynomial  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos(n_j \theta)$  with the  $n_j$  integral and all different so that the number of its real zeros in the period is  $O\left(N^{9/10}(\log N)^{1/5}\right)$ .

#### 1. LITTLEWOOD'S 22ND PROBLEM

**Problem.** "If the  $n_j$  are integral and all different, what is the lower bound on the number of real zeros of  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos(n_j \theta)$ ? Possibly N-1, or not much less."

Here "real zeros" means "zeros in a period". Denote the number of zeros of a trigonometric polynomial T in the period  $[-\pi, \pi)$  by  $\mathcal{N}(T)$ .

Note that if T is a real trigonometric cosine polynomial of degree n, then it is of the form  $T(t) = \exp(-int)P(\exp(it)), t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where P is a reciprocal algebraic polynomial of degree 2n, and if T has only real zeros, then P has all its zeros on the unit circle. So in terms or reciprocal algebraic polynomials one is looking for a reciprocal algebraic polynomial with coefficients in  $\{0, 1\}$ , with 2N terms, and with N - 1 or fewer zeros on the unit circle. Even achieving N - 1 is fairly hard. An exhaustive search up to degree 2N = 32yields only 10 example achieving N - 1 and only one example with fewer.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A17.

Research of P. Borwein supported in part by NSERC of Canada, IRMACS and MI-TACS.

Research of R. Ferguson supported in part by NSERC of Canada, IRMACS and MI-TACS.

Research of R. Lockhart supported in part by NSERC of Canada.

This first example disproving the "possibly N - 1" part of the conjecture is

$$\sum_{j=0, j \notin \{9,10,11,14\}}^{14} (z^j + z^{28-j})$$

which has 8 roots of modulus 1 and corresponds to a cosine sum of 11 terms with 8 roots in the period.

It is hard to see how one might generate infinitely many such examples or indeed why Littlewood made his conjecture.

The following is a reciprocal polynomial with 32 terms and exactly 14 zeros of modulus 1:

$$\sum_{i=0, j \notin \{10, 11, 17, 19\}}^{19} (z^j + z^{38-j}).$$

So it corresponds to a cosine sum of 16 terms with 14 zeros in  $[-\pi, \pi)$ . In other words the sharp version of Littlewood's conjecture is false again, though barely. The following is a reciprocal polynomial with 280 terms and 52 zeros of modulus 1:

$$\sum_{j=0, j \notin \{124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 134, 141, 143, 145, 147, 148, 151, 152\}}^{152} (z^j + z^{304-j})$$

So it corresponds to a cosine sum of 140 terms with 52 zeros in  $[-\pi, \pi)$ . Once again the sharp version of Littlewood's conjecture is false, though this time by a margin. It was found by a version of the greedy algorithm (and some guessing). There is no reason to believe it is a minimal example.

The interesting feature of this example is how close it is to the Dirichlet kernel  $(1 + z + z^2 + \ldots + z^{304})$ . This is not accidental and suggests the approach that leads to our main result.

Littlewood explored many problems concerning polynomials with various restrictions on the coefficients. See [9], [10], and [11], and in particular Littlewood's delightful monograph [12]. Related problems and results may be found in [2] and [4], for example. One of these is Littlewood's well-known conjecture of around 1948 asking for the minimum  $L_1$  norm of polynomials of the form

$$p(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z^{k_j},$$

where the coefficients  $a_j$  are complex numbers of modulus at least 1 and the exponents  $k_j$  are distinct nonnegative integers. It states that such polynomials have  $L_1$  norms on the unit circle that grow at least like  $c \log n$ . This was proved by S. Konyagin [7] and independently by McGehee, Pigno, and Smith [13] in 1981. A short proof is available in [5]. It is believed that the minimum, for polynomials of degree n with complex coefficients of modulus at least 1 is attained by  $1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^n$ , but this is open.

#### 2. AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

The key is to construct n term cosine sums that are large most of the time. This is the content of this section.

**Lemma 1.** There is an absolute constant  $c_1$  such that for all n and  $\alpha > 1$  there are coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$  with each  $a_j \in \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$\max\{t \in [-\pi, \pi) : |P_n(t)| \le \alpha\} \le c_1 \alpha n^{-1/2},$$

where

$$P_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \cos(jt).$$

*Proof.* We will prove the stronger result that there is an absolute constant  $c_1$  such that for all  $\alpha > 0$  and all n

$$\lambda(\alpha) := 2^{-(n+1)} \sum_{\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}} \max\{t \in [-\pi, \pi) : |P_n(t)| \le \alpha\} \le c_1 \alpha n^{-1/2}.$$

If  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent Bernoulli random variables with

$$P(X_j = 0) = P(X_j = 1) = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

then the indicated average is an expected value. Let

$$R_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n X_j \cos(jt)$$

and note that

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(|R_n(t)| \le \alpha) \, dt.$$

Define

$$D_n(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n \cos(jt).$$

The expected value of  $R_n(t)$  is  $\mu_n(t) := D_n(t)/2$ ; its variance is

$$\sigma_n^2(t) := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0}^{n} \cos^2(jt) = \frac{1}{8}(n+1+D_n(2t)).$$

We now apply a uniform normal approximation to get the desired result. Define the cumulative normal distribution function by

$$\Phi(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^{-u^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, du.$$

Define

$$\varrho_2 := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_j \cos(jt)) = \\ = \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \sum_0^n \cos^2(jt) = \frac{1}{8} \left( 1 + \frac{D_n(2t)}{n+1} \right) , \\ \varrho_3 := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \operatorname{E}\left( \left| \left( X_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \cos(jt) \right|^3 \right)$$

We suppress the dependence of each of these on n and u. The Berry-Esseen bound in Bhattacharya and Ranga Rao [1, Theorem 12.4, page 104] is that

$$\left| P(R_n(t) \le c) - \Phi\left(\frac{c - \mu_n(t)}{\sigma_n(t)}\right) \right| \le \frac{11\varrho_3}{4\sqrt{n}\,\varrho_2^{3/2}}.$$

It is elementary that  $\rho_3 \leq 1/8$ . Moreover there is an absolute constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that  $\rho_2 > c_2$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Finally the function  $\Phi$  has derivative bounded by  $(2\pi)^{-1/2}$  so

$$|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \le (2\pi)^{-1/2} |x - y|, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that there is an absolute constant  $c_1$  such that

$$P(-\alpha \le R_n(u) \le \alpha) \le c_1 \alpha n^{-1/2}.$$

## 3. The Main Theorem

**Theorem 1.** There exists a cosine polynomial  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos(n_j \theta)$  with the  $n_j$  integral and all different so that the number of its real zeros in the period is

$$O\left(N^{9/10}(\log N)^{1/5}\right)$$

We note that we have not worked hard to replace the exponent 9/10 with a smaller one that we may call a "close to optimal" exponent in the result. One can hope to replace the exponent 9/10 in Theorem 1 by a slightly smaller one.

The proof of our main theorem above follows immediately from the following Lemma 2 stated below and Lemma 1. Namely, take m := N + 1,  $n = m^{2/5} (\log m)^{-4/5}$ ,  $\alpha = n^{1/4}$  and  $\beta = c_1 \alpha n^{-1/2} = c_1 n^{-1/4}$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $n \leq m$ ,

$$D_m(t) := \sum_{j=0}^m \cos(jt) ,$$
$$P_n(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \cos(jt) , \qquad a_j \in \{0,1\} .$$

Suppose  $\alpha \geq 1$  and

$$\begin{split} \max\{t\in [-\pi,\pi): |P_n(t)|\leq \alpha\}\leq \beta\,.\\ Let\ S_m:=D_m-P_n. \ Then\ the\ number\ of\ zeros\ of\ S_m\ in\ [-\pi,\pi)\ is\ at\ most\\ &\frac{c_3m}{\alpha}+c_4m\beta+c_5nm^{1/2}\log m\,, \end{split}$$

where  $c_3$ ,  $c_4$ , and  $c_5$  are absolute constants.

To prove Lemma 2 we need the following consequence of the Erdős-Turán Theorem [15, p. 278]; see also [6].

## Lemma 3. Let

$$S_m(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j \cos(jt), \qquad a_j \in \{0, 1\},$$

be not identically zero. Denote the number of zeros of  $S_m$  in an interval  $I \subset [-\pi, \pi)$  by  $\mathcal{N}(I)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{N}(I) \le c_6 m |I| + c_6 \sqrt{m} \log m$$
,

where  $c_6$  is an absolute constant and |I| denotes the length of I.

Now we prove Lemma 2.

Proof. We write

$$\{t \in [-\pi, \pi) : |P_n(t)| \le \alpha\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j,$$

where the intervals  $I_j$  are disjoint and  $k \leq 2n$ . Let

$$I_0 := \{ t \in [-\pi, \pi) : |D_m(t)| \ge \alpha \} \,.$$

Note that  $I_0 \subset [-c/\alpha, c/\alpha]$ . Then  $S_m$  has all its zeros in  $\bigcup_{j=0}^k I_j$ . By Lemma 3 we have

$$\mathcal{N}(I_j) \le c_6 m |I_j| + c_6 \sqrt{m} \log m , \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, k ,$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(I_0) \le c_6 m |I_0| + c_6 \sqrt{m} \log m \le \frac{c_7 m}{\alpha} + c_7 \sqrt{m} \log m$$

with an absolute constant  $c_7$ . So

$$\mathcal{N}([-\pi,\pi)) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k} \mathcal{N}(I_j)$$
$$\leq \frac{c_7 m}{\alpha} + c_7 \sqrt{m} \log m + c_6 \sum_{j=1}^{k} m |I_j| + k c_7 \sqrt{m} \log m$$
$$\leq \frac{c_7 m}{\alpha} + c_6 m \beta + 2n c_7 \sqrt{m} \log m$$

and the proof is finished.

### 4. Average Number of Real Zeros

Why did Littlewood make this conjecture? He might have observed that the average number of zeros of a trigonometric polynomial of the form

$$0 \neq T(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \cos(jt), \qquad a_j \in \{0, 1\},$$

has in  $[0, 2\pi)$  is at least *cn*. This is what we elaborate in this section. Associated with a polynomial *P* of degree exactly *n* with real coefficients we introduce  $P^*(z) := z^n P(1/z)$ .

## Theorem 2. Let

$$S(t) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \cos(jt) \quad and \quad \widetilde{S}(t) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n+1-j} \cos(jt) \,,$$

where each of the coefficients  $a_j$  is real and  $a_1a_n \neq 0$ . Let  $w_1$  be the number of zeros of S in  $[0, 2\pi)$ , and let  $w_2$  be the number of zeros of  $\widetilde{S}$  in  $[0, 2\pi)$ . Then  $w_1 + w_2 \geq 2n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j z^j$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that P does not have zeros on the unit circle; the general case follows by a simple limiting argument with the help of Rouché's Theorem. Note that if P has exactly k zeros in the open unit disk then  $zP^*(z)$  has exactly n-k zeros in the open unit disk. Also,

$$2S(t) = \operatorname{Re}(P(e^{it}))$$
 and  $2\widetilde{S}(t) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{it}P^*(e^{it}))$ .

Hence the theorem follows from the Argument Principle. Note that if a continuous curve goes around the origin k times then it crosses the real axis at least 2k times.

Theorem 2 has some interesting consequences. As an example we can state and easily see the following.

**Theorem 3.** The average number of zeros of trigonometric polynomials in the class

$$\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \cos(jt), \ a_j \in \{-1, 1\}\right\}$$

in  $[0, 2\pi)$  is at least n. The average number of zeros of trigonometric polynomials in the class

$$\left\{ 0 \neq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \cos(jt), \ a_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

in  $[0, 2\pi)$  is at least n/4.

*Proof.* Most of the cosine sums in both classes naturally break into pairs with a large combined total number of real zeros in the period.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Conclusion

Let  $0 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_N$  be integers. A cosine polynomial of the form  $T_n(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^N \cos(n_j \theta)$  must have at least one real zero in a period. This is obvious if  $n_1 \neq 0$ , since then the integral of the sum on a period is 0. The above statement is less obvious if  $n_1 = 0$ , but for sufficiently large N it follows from Littlewood's Conjecture simply. Here we mean the Littlewood's Conjecture proved by S. Konyagin [7] and independently by McGehee, Pigno, and Smith [13] in 1981. See also [5] for a book proof. It is not difficult to prove the statement in general even in the case  $n_1 = 0$ . One possible way is to use the identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_N} T_n((2j-1)\pi/n_N) = 0.$$

See [8], for example. Another way is to use Theorem 2 of [14]. So there is certainly no shortage of possible approaches to prove the starting observation of our conclusion even in the case  $n_1 = 0$ . It seems likely that the number of zeros of the above sums in a period must tend to infinity with N. This does not appear to be easy. The case when the sequence  $0 \le n_0 \le n_1 \le \cdots$  is fixed will be handled in a forthcoming paper [3].

#### References

- R.N. Bhattacharya and R. Ranga Rao, Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions, Wiley, New York, 1976.
- [2] P. Borwein, Computational Excursions in Analysis and Number Theory, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [3] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi. Lower bounds for the number of zeros of cosine polynomials in the period: a problem of Littlewood, Acta Arith., to appear.
- [4] B. Conrey, A. Granville, B. Poonen, and K. Soundararajan, Zeros of Fekete polynomials, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 50 (2000), 865–889.
- [5] R.A. DeVore and G.G. Lorentz, Constructive Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On the distribution of roots of polynomials, Ann. Math. 51 (1950), 105–119.
- [7] S.V. Konjagin, On a problem of Littlewood, Mathematics of the USSR, Izvestia, 18(1981), 205–225.
- [8] S.V. Konyagin and V.F. Lev Character sums in complex half planes, J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux 16(2004) no. 3, 587–606.
- [9] J.E. Littlewood, On the mean values of certain trigonometrical polynomials, J. London Math. Soc. 36 (1961), 307–334.
- [10] J.E. Littlewood, On the real roots of real trigonometrical polynomials (II), J. London Math. Soc. 39 (1964), 511–552.
- [11] J.E. Littlewood, On polynomials  $\sum \pm z^m$  and  $\sum e^{\alpha_m i} z^m$ ,  $z = e^{\theta i}$ , J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 367–376.
- [12] J.E. Littlewood, Some Problems in eal and Complex Analysis, Heath Mathematical Monographs, Lexington, Massachusetts, 1968.
- [13] O.C. McGehee, L. Pigno, and B. Smith, Hardy's inequality and the  $L_1$  norm of exponential sums, Ann. Math. **113** (1981), 613–618.

### 8 PETER BORWEIN, TAMÁS ERDÉLYI, RON FERGUSON, AND RICHARD LOCKHART

- [14] I.D. Mercer, Unimodular roots of special Littlewood polynomials, Canad. Math. Bull. 49 (2006) no. 3, 438–447.
- [15] G.V. Milovanovic, D.S. Mitrinovic, Th.M. Rassias, Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6 Canada

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{pborwein@cecm.sfu.ca}$ 

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas77843

*E-mail address*: terdelyi@math.tamu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6 Canada

*E-mail address*: rferguson@pims.math.ca

Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6 Canada

*E-mail address*: lockhart@stat.sfu.ca